Introduction

Intraspecific nest parasitism (INP) occurs when a female lays her eggs in another female’s nest [1]. The number of bird species known to show INP has grown during the recent decades: Yom-Tov summarized INP from 53 species 30 years ago and up to 236 species in 2001 [1,2]. Gong and Lu (2003) added a further 14 species reported in China to the list. More and more species have been found to show INP, and the major reasons of this rapid increase include more detailed investigations of avian reproductive biology as well as the collection of reproductive data from more species [3].

INP has been found and studied in four species of starlings: the Grey Starling (Sturnus cineraceus) [4], the Spotless Starling (S. unicolor) [5], the Rose-coloured Starling (S. roseus) [6], and the European Starling (S. vulgaris) [7]. However, information on the Silky Starling is rare, mainly because they live just in China and the surrounding areas, and few studies have been conducted on its reproductive biology [8]. We provide the first description of INP by Silky Starlings and discuss possible reasons of INP in this species.

Methods

This study was conducted on the Pukou Campus (32° 10’ N, 118° 41’ E) of Nanjing University, China from March to June 2011. The campus is to the north of the Yangtze River. Elevation ranges from 2 to 50 m above sea level. Average annual temperature is 15.4 °C. Annual precipitation is 1106 mm, ~ 60% of which occurs from June to September [9].

We placed and numbered 15 artificial nests (16 x 16 x 35 cm) on the Pukou campus. Most nests were open to the east or south with a height range from 3 to 6 m. Distances between boxes were at least 15 m. They were mainly placed in the luxuriant trees just like metasequoia (Metasequoia glyptostroboides), weeping willow (Salix babylonica) and Phoenix Tree (Firmiana platanifolia).

We used 2 criteria to detect parasitic eggs in a nest [4]:

1) Partition of egg-laying period. The starling usually lays one egg per day, so if two or more eggs appear a day, or there is an average of >1 egg laid per day during the host egg-laying period, it indicates INP. Additionally, if extra eggs appear outside of the egg-laying period, (e.g. the egg is laid after the host has completed its clutch), it also indicates INP.

2) Morphological differences of eggs. There are individual differences in egg morphological features, so the appearance of
eggs which were of a different shape, size and color to other eggs in the clutch would also indicate INP.

The breeding season of the Silky Starling is from late-April to June. We checked nest boxes every two or three days to record the breeding status, and took notes and photos of any changes in the nests.

Results
We placed 15 nests on the Pukou campus on March 24th. We did not find any nest materials in these boxes until 2 weeks later. The first egg appeared on April 20th and hatched on May 9th. Seven boxes were occupied by Silky Starlings. They were nest numbers 26, 27, 30, 33, 37, 38 and 40. The probability of boxes used by Silky Starlings was 46.7%. We found the 26th, 27th, and 37th nests had parasitic eggs.

In nest box #26, we found three eggs on April 20th, and six eggs 4 days later. Three days later, we found a 7th egg. Birds normally lay no more than one egg per day. On May 13th, 3 days after the six eggs hatched, the last egg hatched. As the incubation period is consistent within species this suggests that the host had completed its clutch before April 24th, but that on April 27th, another female laid the 7th egg. Unfortunately, the nest was destroyed by an unknown reason (probably predation) with only one dead hatchling left on May 17th. As a result, we confirmed that it was a parasitic egg (Fig. 1A-D).

In nest box #27, we found nest materials on April 28th. On May 6th, we found five eggs. On May 9th, there were six eggs in the nest. We numbered them with a pencil and found that egg #5 was significantly paler than the others. On May 19th, we found the first hatchling in the nest, and 5 days later, there were five hatchlings. However, egg #5 was still in the nest (Fig. 2A-B). On May 30th, this egg disappeared. As a consequence, we believe the most likely explanation is that the 5th egg came from another female; predation of the egg is unlikely to be an explanation as we would have observed broken egg fragments if this were the case. During our observation, we found that starlings often clean their nests; they take all excreta away after they have fed the hatchlings each time. This may suggest that the 5th egg was removed by the host when it was found that the 5th egg did not hatch.

In nest box #37, we found six eggs in the nest on May 6th. We did not find any morphological differences between the six
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**Figure 1** Parasitized nest #26. (A). On April 27th, the seventh egg appeared in the nest, but we could not recognize which one was parasitic as they were all of similar size, shape and color; (B). On May 9th, we found six hatchlings and the last egg unhatched; (C). On May 13th, the last egg hatched (in red circle); (D). On May 17th, we found only a dead hatchling in the nest and the other hatchings had disappeared.
On May 19th, the eggs hatched. It was not until June 7th that we found another egg in the nest after the nestlings had already fledged (Fig. 3). The egg appeared after the hatching season, so it was likely to be a parasitic egg.

Discussion

We had seven nests occupied by Silky Starlings, among them, three were parasitized by other Silky Starling females and so the parasitism rate was 43%. Two of the three parasitic eggs did not hatch, and so the hatching rate of parasitic eggs was 33%. The only hatchling died for unknown reasons. The fledging rate of parasitized eggs was therefore zero.

According to the criteria suggested by Yamaguchi and Saitou, we confirm INP exists in Silky Starlings. That the extra eggs appeared after the female’s clutch in nests #26 and #37 accords with the first INP criterion, and that the extra egg had a different color to the other eggs in nest #27 accords with the second criterion. With the above limited information, we can at least conclude female starlings can lay their parasitical eggs when they found the hosts had started hatching.

The parasitism rate seemed high in our study, although our samples were limited. Previous research on Spotless Starlings and Grey Starlings, found intraspecific brood parasitism of Spotless Starlings was 19.1% in colony A and 25.3% in colony B, and parasitism of Grey Starlings occurred in 18.5% of 157 nests in 1992 and in 24.1% of 133 nests in 1993. The main reason behind the high parasitism rate in our study might be attributed to the lack of artificial or natural nests. This campus was built in 1993 and most of the trees were planted during this period. Therefore there are too few natural tree holes as nests for the Silky Starlings, while too many related neighboring species compete for the limited nests, such as Gray Starlings, Collared Owlet (Glaucidium brasilianum), and even bumblebees (Vespa mandaerinina). Our other 8 artificial nests were just occupied by the above three species.

Our findings confirmed INP in Silky Starlings, but more studies should be done. The following questions could be addressed. Why have starlings evolved the strategy of INP? Could the hosts recognize the parasitical egg, or have the hosts evolved an anti-INP strategy? How about the reproductive success of these parasitical eggs? More artificial nests should be placed and longer monitoring should be done in future studies.
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